

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address CoMMISSICNER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, DOC 20231 www.dapto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/485,002	01/31/2000	КОЛ NAKATA	1776-4054	1376
75	90 12-12-2002			
DARRYL H STEENSMA MORGAN & FINNEGAN 345 PARK AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			CHEN, VIVIAN	
NEW YORK, N	NY 10154		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1773	1/
			DATE MAILED: 12/12/2002	1 (

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• •			<u> 4</u> 5.
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/485,002	NAKATA ET AL
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Vivian Chen	1773
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicatio	n appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R	EDI VIQ SET TO EYDIRE 3 MON	TH/S) FROM
THE   - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI missions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 erriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS statute, cause the application to become ABANE	be timely filed  ) days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1).	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	25 September 2002 .	
2a) <b>⊡</b>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)∑	This action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u	allowance except for formal matter ander <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	s, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	on of Claims		
4)	Claim(s) 30-35 is/are pending in the appl	ication.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) 🖸	Claim(s) 30-35 is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a fon Papers	and/or election requirement.	
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) 🔲	The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a) approved b) disa	pproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office action.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner.	
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	ments have been received in Appl	ication No
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action for	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·
14) [ A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application).
	)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Attachmen	•		
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	8) 5) Notice of Infor	imary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S Patent and T PTO-326 (Re		ice Action Summary	Part of Paper No 15

Application/Control Number: 09/485,002

Art Unit: 1773

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-29, 35-67 have been cancelled by Applicant.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The rejections under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, in paragraph 4 of the previous Office Action has been withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 30-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WNUK ET AL (US 5,391,423).

WNUK ET AL discloses a biodegradable laminate comprising a polycaprolactone core layer surrounded by outer layers of other biodegradable resins such as cellulose esters, polyglycolic acid copolyesters, and/or aliphatic polyester such as polyethylene succinate (lines 30, col. 9 to line 13, col. 10; lines 14-30, col. 12; column 14; line 54, col. 16 to line 12, col. 17) as recited in claims 30-32 wherein the film layers are coextrudable (lines 40-50, col. 22) as recited in claim 33.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the laminate disclosed in WNUK ET AL in known applications where a combination of barrier and/or mechanical properties and biodegradability is deemed desirable, such as in agricultural applications as indicated in claim 35. Since it is well known in the art to select the materials used in the various outer and core layers of a laminate to improve

Application/Control Number: 09/485,002

Art Unit: 1773

the overall mechanical properties of the laminate as a whole and to compensate for the material-specific weaknesses of individual layers, the Examiner has reason to believe that the laminates disclosed in WNUK ET AL are capable of possessing tear strengths superior to those of single material films of comparable thickness as recited in claim 34, therefore the Examiner has basis for shifting the burden of proof to applicant as in In re Fitzgerald et al., 205 USPQ 594.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 9/25/2002 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1773

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vivian. Chen whose telephone number is (703) 305-3551. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Thibodeau, can be reached on (703) 308-2367. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310 (for non-after finals) and (703) 872-9311 (for after-finals).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

December 9, 2002

Vivian Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit 1773